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## Wien2k is used worldwide by about 1800 groups

## The WIEN2k code: comments

- Walter Kohn: density functional theory (DFT)
- J.C.Slater: augmented plane wave (APW) method, 1937
- O.K.Andersen: Linearized APW (LAPW)
- Wien2k code: developed during the last 30 years
- In the year 2000 (2k) the WIEN code (from Vienna) was called wien $2 k$
- One of the most accurate DFT codes for solids
- All electron, relativistic, full- potential method
- Widely used in academia and industry
- Applications:
- solids: insulators , covalently bonded systems, metals
- Electronic, magentic, elastic , optical ,...properties
- Surfaces:
- Many application in literature
- See www.wien2k.at


## A few solid state concepts

- Crystal structure
- Unit cell (defined by 3 lattice vectors) leading to 7 crystal systems
- Bravais lattice (14)
- Atomic basis (Wyckoff position)
- Symmetries (rotations, inversion, mirror planes, glide plane, screw axis)
- Space group (230)
- Wigner-Seitz cell
- Reciprocal lattice (Brillouin zone)
- Electronic structure
- Periodic boundary conditions
- Bloch theorem (k-vector), Bloch function
- Schrödinger equation (HF, DFT)


## Unit cell

Assuming an ideal infinite crystal we define a unit cell by

Unit cell: a volume in space that fills space entirely when translated by all lattice vectors.

The obvious choice:
a parallelepiped defined by $\mathbf{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}$, three basis vectors with
the best $\mathbf{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}$ are as orthogonal as possible
the cell is as symmetric as possible (14 types)


A unit cell containing one lattice point is called primitive cell.

## Crystal system: e.g. cubic

Axis system

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a=b=c \\
& \alpha=\beta=\gamma=90^{\circ}
\end{aligned}
$$

primitive


P(cP)
body centered


I (bcc)
face centered


F (fcc)

## 7 Crystal systems and 14 Bravais lattices

| Triclinic | 1 | "no" symmetry |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Monoclinic (P, C) | 2 | Two right angles |
| Orthorhombic (P, C, I, F) | 4 | Three right angles |
| Tetragonal (P, I) | 2 | Three right angles + 4 fold rotation |
| Cubic (P, I, F) | 3 | Three right angles +4 fold +3 fold |
| Trigonal (Rhombohedral) | 1 | Three equal angles $\left(\neq 90^{\circ}\right)+3$ fold |
| Hexagonal | 1 | Two right and one $120^{\circ}$ angle +6 fold |

Triclinic

Monoclinic (P, C)

Orthorhombic (P, C, I, F)
Tetragonal (P, I)

Cubic (P, I, F)

Trigonal (Rhombohedral)
Hexagonal

1 "no" symmetry

2 Two right angles

4 Three right angles

2 Three right angles + 4 fold rotation

3 Three right angles +4 fold +3 fold

1 Three equal angles $\left(\neq 90^{\circ}\right)+3$ fold

1 Two right and one $120^{\circ}$ angle +6 fold

Form connection to all neighbors and span a plane normal to the connecting line at half distance


## Bloch-Theorem:

$$
\left[-\frac{1}{2} \nabla^{2}+V(r)\right] \Psi(r)=E \Psi(r)
$$

## 1-dimensioanl case:

$\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{x})$ has lattice periodicity ("translational invariance"):

$$
V(x)=V(x+a)
$$

The electron density $\rho(x)$ has also lattice periodicity, however, the wave function does NOT:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \rho(x)=\rho(x+a)=\Psi^{*}(x) \Psi(x) \quad \text { but: } \\
& \Psi(x+a)=\mu \Psi(x) \Rightarrow \mu^{*} \mu=1
\end{aligned}
$$

Application of the translation $\tau$ g-times:

$$
\tau^{g} \Psi(x)=\Psi(x+g a)=\mu^{g} \Psi(x)
$$

- The wave function must be uniquely defined: after G translations it must be identical ( G a: periodicity volume):
$\tau^{G} \Psi(x)=\Psi(x+G a)=\mu^{G} \Psi(x)=\Psi(x)$

$$
\Rightarrow \quad \mu^{G}=1
$$

$$
\mu=e^{2 \pi i \frac{g}{G}} \quad g=0, \pm 1 \pm 2, \ldots
$$

$$
D e f .: \quad k=\frac{2 \pi}{a} \frac{g}{G} \quad \mu=e^{i k a}
$$

Bloch condition : $\Psi(x+a)=e^{i k a} \Psi(x)=\Psi_{k}$

- Wave functions with Bloch form:


Replacing $\boldsymbol{k}$ by $\boldsymbol{k}+\boldsymbol{K}$, where $\boldsymbol{K}$ is a reciprocal lattice vector, fulfills again the Bloch-condition.
$\rightarrow \boldsymbol{k}$ can be restricted to the first Brillouin zone .

$$
e^{i \frac{2 \pi}{a} K}=1
$$

$$
-\frac{\pi}{a}<k<\frac{\pi}{a}
$$

## Concepts when solving Schrödingers-equation in solids



## DFT vs. MBT (many body theory)

## Two communities in solid state theory

|  | LDA bandstructure | many body theory |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| + | • material-specific, "ab initio" | • electronic correlations |
|  | • often successful, quantitative | • qualitative understanding |
| - | $\bullet$ effective one-particle approach | • model Hamiltonian |



## Ab-initio Hamiltonian

(non-relativistic/Born-Oppenheimer approximation)


LDA bandstructure corresponds to $H_{\mathrm{LDA}}=\sum_{i}\left[-\frac{\hbar^{2} \Delta_{i}}{2 m_{e}}+\sum_{l} \frac{-e^{2}}{4 \pi \epsilon_{0}} \frac{1}{\left|\mathbf{r}_{i}-\mathbf{R}_{l}\right|}+\int \mathrm{d}^{3} r \frac{e^{2}}{4 \pi \epsilon_{0}} \frac{1}{\left|\mathbf{r}_{i}-\mathbf{r}\right|} \rho(\mathbf{r})+V_{x c}^{\mathrm{LDA}}\left(\rho\left(\mathbf{r}_{i}\right)\right)\right]$


Coulomb potential:

- nuclei
- all electrons
- including
self-interaction

Quantum mechanics:

- exchange
- correlation
- (partly) cancel self-interaction


## ESSENCE OF DENSITY-FUNTIONAL THEORY

- Every observable quantity of a quantum system can be calculated from the density of the system ALONE (Hohenberg, Kohn, 1964).
- The density of particles interacting with each other can be calculated as the density of an auxiliary system of non-interacting particles (Kohn, Sham, 1965).

Walter Kohn's 80


Water Kolun
 Svenske Vetaiskapsakademion) hurito 15 oktober 1998 beslutat att matilat NOBELPRIS
som dettu ár tillakünves don som ijpor den viktigaste-kemiska. upttickten ellcrforbiattriugon mat aut fialften befóna
TWafter for hans utveckling av tüthetsfunktionaltronin.

"Self-consistent Equations including Exchange and Correlation Effects" W. Kohn and L. J. Sham, Phys. Rev. 140, A1133 (1965)

Literal quote from Kohn and Sham's paper:"... We do not expect an accurate description of chemical binding."

## DFT Density Functional Theory

## Hohenberg-Kohn theorem: (exact)

The total energy of an interacting inhomogeneous electron gas in the presence of an external potential $\mathrm{V}_{\text {ext }}(r)$ is a functional of the density $\rho$

$$
E=\int V_{e x t}(\vec{r}) \rho(\vec{r}) d \vec{r}+F[\rho]
$$

## Kohn-Sham: (still exact!)

$$
E=T_{o}[\rho]+\int V_{e x t} \rho(\vec{r}) d \vec{r}+\frac{1}{2} \int \frac{\rho(\vec{r}) \rho\left(\vec{r}^{\prime}\right)}{\left|\vec{r}^{\prime}-\vec{r}\right|} d \vec{r} d \vec{r}^{\prime}+E_{x c}[\rho]
$$

$\mathrm{E}_{\text {kinetic }}$
non interacting

In KS the many body problem of interacting electrons and nuclei is mapped to a one-electron reference system that leads to the same density as the real system.

## Exchange and correlation

- We divide the density of the N - 1 electron system into the total density $n(r)$ and an exchangecorrelation hole:


Properties of the exchange-correlation hole:

- Locality
- Pauli principle
- the hole contains ONE electron

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h\left(r_{0}, r\right) \xrightarrow[\left|r-r_{0}\right| \rightarrow \infty]{ } 0 \\
& h\left(r_{0}, r\right) \xrightarrow[\left|r-r_{0}\right| \rightarrow 0]{ }-n\left(r_{0}\right) \\
& \int d r h\left(r_{0}, r\right)=-1 \\
& h\left(r_{0}, r\right) \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

- The exchange hole affects electrons with the same spin and accounts for the Pauli principle
- In contrast, the correlation-hole accounts for the Coulomb repulsion of electrons with the opposite spin. It is short range and leads to a small redistribution of charge. The correlation hole contains NO charge:

$$
\int d r h_{c}\left(r_{0}, r\right)=0
$$

## Kohn-Sham equations

## LDA, GGA

$$
E=T_{o}[\rho]+\int V_{e x t} \rho(\vec{r}) d \vec{r}+\frac{1}{2} \int \frac{\rho(\vec{r}) \rho\left(\vec{r}^{\prime}\right)}{\left|\vec{r}^{\prime}-\vec{r}\right|} d \vec{r} d \vec{r}^{\prime}+E_{x c}[\rho]
$$

## 1-electron equations (Kohn Sham)

vary $\rho$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\{-\frac{1}{2} \nabla^{2}+V_{e x t}(\vec{r})+V_{C}(\rho(\vec{r}))+V_{x c}(\rho(\vec{r}))\right\} \Phi_{i}(\vec{r})=\varepsilon_{i} \Phi_{i}(\vec{r}) \\
&-\mathrm{z} / \mathrm{r} \int \frac{\rho(\vec{r})}{\left|\vec{r}^{\prime}-\vec{r}\right|} d \vec{r} \quad \frac{\partial E_{x c}(\rho)}{\partial \rho}
\end{aligned} \rho(\vec{r})=\sum_{\varepsilon_{i} \leq E_{F}}\left|\Phi_{i}\right|^{2}
$$

$E_{x c}^{L D A} \propto \int \rho(r) \varepsilon_{x c}^{\text {hom }}[\rho(r)] d r$ $E_{x c}^{G G A} \propto \int \rho(r) F[\rho(r), \nabla \rho(r)] d r \mathbf{G G A}{ }_{\text {but approximately }}$

New (better ?) functionals are still an active field of research


Volume (a.u. ${ }^{3}$ )

## GGA follows LDA



## CoO AFM-II total energy, DOS





LSDA


## 2 6 <br> CoO why is GGA better than LSDA

- Central Co atom distinguishes



## $\mathrm{FeF}_{2}$ : GGA works surprisingly well



Fe-EFG in $\mathrm{FeF}_{2}$ :
LSDA: 6.2
GGA: 16.8
$\mathrm{FeF}_{2}$ : GGA splits $\mathrm{t}_{2 g}$ into $\mathrm{a}_{1 \mathrm{~g}}$ and $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{\prime}$ exp: $\quad 16.5 \times \ldots \ldots \ldots .$. :agree


## Accuracy of DFT for transition metals

Lattice parameters ( $\AA$ )

|  | Exp. | LDA | PBE | WC | - 3d elements: <br> - PBE superior, LDA much too small |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Co | 2.51 | 2.42 | 2.49 | 2.45 |  |
| Ni | 3.52 | 3.42 | 3.52 | 3.47 |  |
| Cu | 3.61 | 3.52 | 3.63 | 3.57 |  |
| Ru | 2.71 | 2.69 | 2.71 | 2.73 | - 4d elements: |
| Rh | 3.80 | 3.76 | 3.83 | 3.80 | LDA too small, PBE too large |
| Pd | 3.88 | 3.85 | 3.95 | 3.89 | Wu-Cohen (WC) |
| Ag | 4.07 | 4.01 | 4.15 | 4.07 | Z.Wu, R.E.Cohen, |
| Ir | 3.84 | 3.84 | 3.90 | 3.86 | PRB 73, 235116 (2006) |
| Pt | 3.92 | 3.92 | 4.00 | 3.96 | - 5d elements: |
| Au | 4.08 | 4.07 | 4.18 | 4.11 | - LDA superior, PBE too large |

Approximations for $E_{\text {xc }}$

- LDA: $E_{\mathrm{xc}}^{\mathrm{LDA}}=\int f(\rho(\mathbf{r})) d^{3} r$
- GGA: $E_{\mathrm{xc}}^{\mathrm{GGA}}=\int f(\rho(\mathbf{r}),|\nabla \rho(\mathbf{r})|) d^{3} r$

- MGGA: $E_{\mathrm{xc}}^{\mathrm{MGGA}}=\int f\left(\rho(\mathbf{r}),|\nabla \rho(\mathbf{r})|, \nabla^{2} \rho(\mathbf{r}), t(\mathbf{r})\right) d^{3} r$
- $\mathrm{LDA}+U: E_{\mathrm{xc}}^{\mathrm{LDA}+U}=E_{\mathrm{xc}}^{\mathrm{LDA}}+E_{\mathrm{ee}}-E_{\mathrm{dc}}$
- GGA $+U: E_{\mathrm{xc}}^{\mathrm{GGA}+U}=E_{\mathrm{xc}}^{\mathrm{GGA}}+E_{\mathrm{ee}}-E_{\mathrm{dc}}$
- hybrid: $E_{\mathrm{xc}}^{\mathrm{hybrid}}=E_{\mathrm{xc}}^{\mathrm{DFT}}+\alpha\left(E_{\mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{HF}}-E_{\mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{DFT}}\right)$ where

$$
E_{\mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{HF}}=-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma} \sum_{\substack{n, \mathbf{k} \\ n^{\prime}, k^{\prime}}} w_{\mathbf{k}} w_{\mathbf{k}^{\prime}} \iint \frac{\psi_{n \mathbf{k}}^{\sigma *}(\mathbf{r}) \psi_{n^{\prime} \mathbf{k}^{\prime}}^{\sigma}\left(\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right) \psi_{n^{\prime} \mathbf{k}^{\prime}}^{\sigma}(\mathbf{r}) \psi_{n \mathbf{k}}^{\sigma}\left(\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right)}{\left|\mathbf{r}-\mathbf{r}^{\prime}\right|} d^{3} r d^{3} r^{\prime}
$$

- Only for certain atoms
and electrons of a given angular momentum $\ell$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E_{\mathrm{xc}}^{\mathrm{hybrid}}= E_{\mathrm{xc}}^{\mathrm{DFT}}\left[\rho^{\sigma}\right]+\alpha\left(E_{\mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{HF}}\left[n_{m_{i} m_{j}}^{\sigma}\right]-E_{\mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{DFT}}\left[\rho_{\ell}^{\sigma}\right]\right) \\
& E_{\mathrm{x}}^{\mathrm{HF}}\left[n_{m_{i} m_{j}}^{\sigma}\right]=-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma} \sum_{m_{1}, m_{2}, m_{3}, m_{4}}^{\ell} n_{m_{1} m_{2}}^{\sigma} n_{m_{3} m_{4}}^{\sigma}\left\langle m_{1} m_{3}\right| v_{\mathrm{ee}}\left|m_{4} m_{2}\right\rangle \\
&\left\langle m_{1} m_{2}\right| v_{\mathrm{ee}}\left|m_{3} m_{4}\right\rangle=\sum_{k=0}^{2 \ell} a_{k} F_{k} \\
& \begin{array}{l}
\text { The Slater integrals } F_{k} \text { are calculated according to } \\
\text { P.Novák et al., phys.stat.sol (b) } \underline{\mathbf{2 4 5}}, 563(2006)
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Application to FeO

Table: Lattice constant a $(\AA)$, bulk modulus $B(\mathrm{GPa})$, total and orbital magnetic moment $M$ and $M_{\ell}\left(\mu_{\mathrm{B}}\right)$, fundamental band gap $\Delta_{\text {fund }}(\mathrm{eV})$, and optical band gap $\Delta_{\text {opt }}(\mathrm{eV})$ of AFII phase of FeO .
$\left.\begin{array}{lccccc}\hline \hline & a & B & M\left(M_{\ell}\right) & \Delta_{\text {fund }} & \Delta_{\text {opt }} \\ \hline \text { LDA } & 4.18 & 230 & 3.44(0.09) & 0.0 & 0.0 \\ \text { PBE } & 4.30 & 183 & 3.49(0.08) & 0.0 & 0.0\end{array}\right\} \quad$ metallic
${ }^{1}$ Assigned to $\mathrm{Fe} 3 d / \mathrm{O} 2 s p \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe} 4 s$ transitions. ${ }^{2}$ Assigned to $\mathrm{Fe} 3 d / \mathrm{O} 2 s p \rightarrow \mathrm{Fe} 3 d$ transitions.
F.Tran, P.Blaha,K.Schwarz, P.Novák, PRB 74, 155108 (2006)

FeO: LDA vs. LDA+U vs. Hybrids vs. exp


## Structure: $a, b, b, \alpha, \beta, \beta, \gamma_{\nu} R_{\alpha}, \cdots$

## unit cell atomic positions

## Structure optimization



- $\Psi$ cannot be found analytically
- complete "numerical" solution is possible but inefficient
- Ansatz:
- linear combination of some "basis functions"
- different methods use different basis sets !

$$
\Psi_{k}=\sum_{K_{n}} c_{k_{n}} \Phi_{k_{n}}
$$

- finding the "best" wave function using the variational principle:

$$
\left\langle E_{k}\right\rangle=\frac{\left\langle\Psi_{k}^{*}\right| H\left|\Psi_{k}\right\rangle}{\left\langle\Psi_{k}^{*} \mid \Psi_{k}\right\rangle} \quad \frac{\partial E_{k}}{\partial c_{k_{n}}}=0
$$

- this leads to the famous "Secular equations", i.e. a set of linear equations which in matrix representation is called "generalized eigenvalue problem"

$$
H C=E S C
$$

H, S : hamilton and overlap matrix; C: eigenvectors, E: eigenvalues

## Basis Sets for Solids

- plane waves
- pseudo potentials
- PAW (projector augmented wave) by P.E.Blöchl
- space partitioning (augmentation) methods
- LMTO (linear muffin tin orbitals)
- ASA approx., linearized numerical radial function
+ Hankel- and Bessel function expansions
- full-potential LMTO

- ASW (augmented spherical wave)
- similar to LMTO
- KKR (Korringa, Kohn, Rostocker method)
- solution of multiple scattering problem, Greens function formalism
- equivalent to APW
- (L)APW (linearized augmented plane waves)
- LCAO methods
- Gaussians, Slater, or numerical orbitals, often with PP option)


## pseudopotential plane wave methods

- plane waves form a "complete" basis set, however, they "never" converge due to the rapid oscillations of the atomic wave functions $\chi$ close to the nuclei
- let's get rid of all core electrons and these oscillations by replacing the strong ion-electron potential by a much weaker (and physically dubious) pseudopotential
- Hellmann's 1935 combined approximation method

- "real" potentials contain the Coulomb singularity -Z/r
- the wave function has a cusp and many wiggles,
- chemical bonding depends mainly on the overlap of the wave functions between neighboring atoms (in the region between the nuclei) $\rightarrow$



## APW based schemes

- APW (J.C.Slater 1937)
- Non-linear eigenvalue problem
- Computationally very demanding
K.Schwarz, P.Blaha, G.K.H.Madsen, Comp.Phys.Commun.147, 71-76 (2002)
- LAPW (O.K.Anderssen 1975)
- Generalized eigenvalue problem
- Full-potential
- Local orbitals (D.J.Singh 1991)
- treatment of semi-core states (avoids ghostbands)
- APW+lo (E.Sjöstedt, L.Nordstörm, D.J.Singh 2000)
- Efficiency of APW + convenience of LAPW
- Basis for

K.Schwarz, P.Blaha, S.B.Trickey, Molecular physics, 108, 3147 (2010)


## APW Augmented Plane Wave method



Basis set:

PW: $e^{i(\vec{k}+\vec{K}) \cdot \vec{r}}$
Atomic partial waves $\sum_{\ell m} A_{\ell m}^{K} u_{\ell}\left(r^{\prime}, \varepsilon\right) Y_{\ell m}\left(\hat{r}^{\prime}\right)$
$u_{( }(r, \varepsilon)$ are the numerical solutions of the radial Schrödinger equation in a given spherical potential for a particular energy $\varepsilon$
$A_{/ m}{ }^{K}$ coefficients for matching the PW

## Slater's APW (1937)



H Hamiltonian
S overlap matrix
Atomic partial waves

$$
\sum_{\ell m} a_{\ell m}^{K} u_{\ell}\left(r^{\prime}, \varepsilon\right) Y_{\ell m}\left(\hat{r}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Energy dependent basis functions lead to a
Non-linear eigenvalue problem

Numerical search for those energies, for which the det|H-ES| vanishes. Computationally very demanding. "Exact" solution for given MT potential!

## Linearization of energy dependence


expand $u_{l}$ at fixed energy $E_{l}$ and add $\quad \dot{u}_{l}=\partial u_{l} / \partial \varepsilon$
$A_{I m}{ }^{k}, B_{I m}{ }^{k}$ : join PWs in
value and slope
$\rightarrow$ General eigenvalue problem (diagonalization)
$\rightarrow$ additional constraint requires more PWs than APW


- Atomic sphere approximation (ASA)
- overlapping spheres "fill" all volume
- potential spherically symmetric
- "muffin-tin" approximation (MTA)
- non-overlapping spheres with spherically symmetric potential +
- interstitial region with $V=$ const.

- "full"-potential
- no shape approximations to $V$


## T) EN <br> Full-potential in LAPW (A.Freeman et al)



## $\mathrm{SrTiO}_{3}$



Full potential

Muffin tin approximation

$\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ rutile

- The potential (and charge density) can be of general form (no shape approximation)

$$
V(r)= \begin{cases}\sum_{L M} V_{L M}(r) Y_{L M}(\hat{r}) & r<R_{\alpha} \\ \sum_{K} V_{K} e^{i \hat{K} \cdot \bar{r}} & r \in I\end{cases}
$$

- Inside each atomic sphere a local coordinate system is used (defining LM)



## Core, semi-core and valence states

For example: Ti

$1 \mathrm{Ry}=13.605 \mathrm{eV}$

## Local orbitals (LO)

$$
\Phi_{L O}=\left[A_{\ell m} u_{\ell}^{E_{1}}+B_{\ell m} \dot{u}_{\ell}^{E_{1}}+C_{\ell m} u_{\ell}^{E_{2}}\right] Y_{\ell m}(\hat{r})
$$

- LOs
- are confined to an atomic sphere
- have zero value and slope at $R$
- Can treat two principal QN n for each azimuthal $Q N \ell$ (e.g. $3 p$ and 4p)
- Corresponding states are strictly orthogonal
- (e.g.semi-core and valence)
- Tail of semi-core states can be represented by plane waves
- Only slightly increases the basis set (matrix size)


## D.J.Singh, Phys.Rev. B 436388 (1991)

## An alternative combination of schemes

E.Sjöstedt, L.Nordström, D.J.Singh,

An alternative way of linearizing the augmented plane wave method, Solid State Commun. 114, 15 (2000)

- Use APW, but at fixed $E_{I}$ (superior PW convergence)
- Linearize with additional local orbitals (lo)
(add a few extra basis functions)

$$
\Phi_{k_{n}}=\sum_{\ell m} A_{l m}\left(k_{n}\right) u_{\ell}\left(E_{\ell}, r\right) Y_{\ell m}(\hat{r})
$$

$$
\left.\Phi_{l o}=\left[A_{\ell m} u_{\ell}^{E_{1}}+B_{\ell m} \dot{\varphi}_{\ell}^{E_{1}}\right]\right]_{\ell m}(\hat{r})
$$

optimal solution: mixed basis

- use APW+lo for states, which are difficult to converge: (f or d- states, atoms with small spheres)
- use LAPW+LO for all other atoms and angular momenta


## Improved convergence of APW+lo

Representative Convergence:


SES (sodium electro solodalite)
K.Schwarz, P.Blaha, G.K.H.Madsen, Comp.Phys.Commun.147, 71-76 (2002)
e.g. force $\left(F_{y}\right)$ on oxygen in SES
vs. \# plane waves:

- in LAPW changes sign and converges slowly
- in APW+lo better convergence
- to same value as in LAPW



## Summary: Linearization LAPW vs. APW

- Atomic partial waves
- LAPW

$$
\Phi_{k_{n}}=\sum_{\ell m}\left[A_{\ell m}\left(k_{n}\right) u_{\ell}\left(E_{\ell}, r\right)+B_{\ell m}\left(k_{n}\right) \dot{u}_{\ell}\left(E_{\ell}, r\right)\right] Y_{\ell m}(\hat{r})
$$

- $A P W+10$
$\Phi_{k_{n}}=\sum_{\ell m} A_{\ell m}\left(k_{n}\right) u_{\ell}\left(E_{\ell}, r\right) Y_{\ell m}(\hat{r})$
- Plane Waves (PWs)

$$
e^{i\left(\vec{k}+\vec{K}_{n}\right) \cdot \vec{r}}
$$

- match at sphere boundary
- LAPW
value and slope $A_{\ell m}\left(k_{n}\right), B_{\ell m}\left(k_{n}\right)$
- APW
value
$A_{\ell m}\left(k_{n}\right)$
plus another type of local orbital (lo)
Atomic sphere



## E.Sjöststedt, L.Nordström, D.J.Singh, SSC 114, 15 (2000)

- Use APW, but at fixed $E_{I}$ (superior PW convergence)
- Linearize with additional lo (add a few basis functions)
optimal solution: mixed basis
- use APW+lo for states which are difficult to converge: ( f - or d- states, atoms with small spheres)
- use LAPW+LO for all other atoms and angular momenta

A summary is given in
K.Schwarz, P.Blaha, G.K.H.Madsen,

Comp.Phys.Commun.147, 71-76 (2002)
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## $x$ <br> , <br> Europa Austria Vienna $\rightarrow$ WIEN

## In the Heart of EUROPE



## In Japan

－Book published by Shinya Wakoh（2006）

『WIEN2k 入門』追加版樆固体の中の電子

## バンド計算の基礎と応用

和光システム研究所 著

## WIEN2k 入門

WIEN－code は1980年ごろから，グループの指導者である Karlheinz Schwarz によって書き始められ，1990年に最初の copyrighted version の WIEN が発表された。その後 UNIX version となり，WIEN93，WIEN95，WIEN97を経て，Fortran90 対応の WIEN2k へと改良•拡張 されてきた ${ }^{* 1}$ 。基礎となるシュレーディンガー方程式はコーン・シャム方程式であり，バンド計算法は主として FLAPW 法，ポテンシャルは LSDA，GGA などである．最新の WIEN2k では， $\mathrm{APW}+\mathrm{lo}$ も取り入れられており，ポテンシャルとしては電子相関が強いときに必要であると云わ れている補正 $+U$ も扱えるようになっている．また，並列計算機を使えば，極めて複雑な結晶も計算の対象とすることができる。

## Development of WIEN2k

- Authors of WIEN2k
P. Blaha, K. Schwarz, D. Kvasnicka, G. Madsen and J. Luitz
- Other contributions to WIEN2k
- C. Ambrosch-Draxl (Univ. Graz, Austria), optics
- T. Charpin (Paris), elastic constants
- R. Laskowski (Vienna), non-collinear magnetism, parallelization
- L. Marks (Northwestern, US) , various optimizations, new mixer
- P. Novák and J. Kunes (Prague), LDA+U, SO
- B. Olejnik (Vienna), non-linear optics,
- C. Persson (Uppsala), irreducible representations
- V. Petricek (Prague) 230 space groups
- M. Scheffler (Fritz Haber Inst., Berlin), forces
- D.J.Singh (NRL, Washington D.C.), local oribtals (LO), APW+lo
- E. Sjöstedt and L Nordström (Uppsala, Sweden), APW+lo
- J. Sofo and J. Fuhr (Barriloche), Bader analysis
- B. Yanchitsky and A. Timoshevskii (Kiev), spacegroup
- and many others ....


## A series of WIEN workshops were held

- 1st Vienna
- 2nd Vienna
- 3rd Vienna
- 4st Trieste, Italy
- 5st Vienna
- 6th Vienna
- 7th Vienna
- 8th Esfahan, Iran
- Penn State, USA
- 9th Vienna
- 10th Penn State, USA
- 11th Kyoto, Japan

IPAM, Los Angeles, USA Nov. 2005

- 12th Vienna
- 13th Penn State, USA
- 14th Singapore
- 15th Vienna
- 16th Penn State, USA
- 17th Nantes
- 18th Penn State, USA

July 2002
April 2003
July 2004
May 2005

April 2006
June 2007
July 2007
March 2008
June 2009
July 2010
June 2011

April 1995 Wien95
April 1996
April 1997 Wien97
June 1998
April 1999
April 2000
Sept. 2001 Wien2k

## (L)APW methods

APW + local orbital method
(linearized) augmented plane wave method

Total wave function $\quad \Psi_{k}=\sum_{K_{n}} C_{k_{n}} \phi_{k_{n}} \quad \mathrm{n} \ldots . .50-100 \mathrm{PWs} /$ atom

Variational method:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle E\rangle= & \frac{\langle\Psi| H|\Psi\rangle}{\langle\Psi \mid \Psi\rangle} \quad \frac{\delta\langle E\rangle}{\delta C_{k_{n}}}=0 \\
& \text { upper bound } \quad \text { minimum }
\end{aligned}
$$

Generalized eigenvalue problem: $\mathrm{HC}=\mathrm{E} S \mathrm{C}$
Diagonalization of (real or complex) matrices of size 10.000 to 50.000 (up to 50 Gb memory)

## Structure: $a, b, b, \alpha, \beta, \beta, \gamma_{\nu} R_{\alpha}, \cdots$

## unit cell atomic positions

## Structure optimization



- Irreducible BZ (IBZ)
- The irreducible wedge
- Region, from which the whole BZ can be obtained by applying all symmetry operations
- Bilbao Crystallographic Server:
- www.cryst.ehu.es/cryst/
- The IBZ of all space groups can be obtained from this server
- using the option KVEC and specifying the space group
 (e.g. No. 225 for the fcc structure leading to bcc in reciprocal space, No.229)


## Self-consistent field (SCF) calculations

- In order to solve $\mathrm{H} \Psi=\mathrm{E} \Psi$ we need to know the potential $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{r})$
- for $\mathrm{V}(\mathrm{r})$ we need the electron density $\rho(\mathrm{r})$
- the density $\rho(r)$ can be obtained from $\Psi(r) * \Psi(r)$
- ?? $\Psi(r)$ is unknown before $\mathrm{H} \Psi=E \Psi$ is solved ??



## Band structure of fcc Cu



## Program structure of WIEN2k

- init_lapw
- initialization
- symmetry detection (F, I, Ccentering, inversion)
- input generation with recommended defaults
- quality (and computing time) depends on k-mesh and R.Kmax (determines \#PW)
- run_lapw
- scf-cycle
- optional with SO and/or LDA+U
- different convergence criteria (energy, charge, forces)
- save_lapw tic_gga_100k_rk7_vol0
- cp case.struct and clmsum files,
- mv case.scf file
- rm case.broyd* files



## Flow Chart of WIEN2k (SCF)



WIEN2k: P. Blaha, K. Schwarz, G. Madsen, D. Kvasnicka, and J. Luitz

## Workflow of a WIEN2k calculation

- individual FORTRAN programs linked by shell-scripts
- the output of one program is input for the next
- lapw $1 / 2$ can run in parallel on many processors

k-point parallelization
* fraction of total computation time


## Advantage/disadvantage of WIEN2k

+ robust all-electron full-potential method (new effective mixer)
+ unbiased basisset, one convergence parameter (LDA-limit)
+ all elements of periodic table (comparable in CPU time), metals
+ LDA, GGA, meta-GGA, LDA+U, spin-orbit
+ many properties and tools (supercells, symmetry)
+ w2web (for novice users)
? speed + memory requirements
+ very efficient basis for large spheres (2 bohr) (Fe: 12Ry, O: 9Ry)
- less efficient for small spheres (1 bohr) (O: 25 Ry)
- large cells, many atoms (n³, but new iterative diagonalization)
- full H, S matrix stored $\rightarrow$ large memory required
+ effective dual parallelization (k-points, mpi-fine-grain)
+ many $k$-points do not require more memory
- no stress tensor
- no linear response


## w2web GUI (graphical user interface)

Session: TiC

Inequivalent Atoms: 2
Atom 1: $\mathrm{Ti} \square \mathrm{Z}=22.0 \quad \mathrm{RMT}=2.0000$ remove atom
Pos 1: $x=0.00000000 y=0.00000000 \quad z=0.00000000$ remove add position

$$
\text { RMT= } 1.9000
$$

Atom 2: $\mathrm{C} \square \mathrm{Z}=6.0 \quad \mathrm{RMT}=1.9000 \quad$ remove atom
Pos 1: $x=0.50000000 \quad y=0.50000000 \quad z=0.50000000$ remove add position

- Structure generator
- spacegroup selection
- import cif file
- step by step initialization
- symmetry detection
- automatic input generation
- SCF calculations
- Magnetism (spin-polarization)
- Spin-orbit coupling
- Forces (automatic geometry optimization)
- Guided Tasks
- Energy band structure
- DOS
- Electron density
- X-ray spectra
- Optics


Execution >>
StructGen ${ }^{\text {TM }}$ initialize calc.
run SCF
single prog.
optimize(v,c/a)
mini. positions
Utils. >>
Tasks >>
Files >>
struct file(s)
input files
output files
SCF files
Session Mgmt. >>
change session
change dir
change info
Configuration
Usersguide
html-Version pdf-Version
larea51/pblaha/lapw/2005-june/TiC

## StructGen ${ }^{\text {m }}$

You have to click "Save Structure" for changes to take effect!
Save Structure

Title: TiC
Lattice:
Type: F


Lattice parameters in $\AA$
$a=4.328000038 t \mathrm{~b}=4.328000038 \mathrm{t} \mathrm{c}=4.328000038 \mathrm{t}$
$\alpha=90.000000 \quad \beta=90.000000 \quad \gamma=90.000000$
Save Structure

$$
\alpha=90.000000 \quad \beta=90.000000 \quad \gamma=90.000000
$$

$$
Z=6.0
$$

## Structure given by:

 spacegroup lattice parameter positions of atoms (basis)Number of positions, Wyckoff notation, and point symmetry


Origin at centre ( mmm )
Co-ordinates of equivalent positions

Conditions limiting possible reflections

Rutile $\mathrm{TiO}_{2}$ :
$\mathrm{P}_{2} / \mathrm{mnm}$ (136)
$a=8.68, c=5.59$ bohr
Ti: $(0,0,0)$
O: (0.304,0.304,0) Wyckoff position: x, x, 0

$x, y, \bar{z} ; \quad \bar{x}, \bar{y}, \bar{z} ; \quad \frac{1}{2}+x, \frac{1}{2}-y, \frac{1}{2}-z ; \quad \frac{1}{2}-x, \frac{1}{2}+y, \frac{1}{2}+z$ $y, x, z ; \quad \bar{y}, \bar{x}, z ; \quad \frac{1}{2}+y, \frac{1}{2}-x, \frac{1}{2}+z ; \quad \frac{1}{2}-y, \frac{1}{2}+x, \frac{1}{2}+z ;$
$\begin{array}{lll}y, x, \bar{z} ; & \bar{y}, \bar{x}, \bar{z} ; & \frac{1}{2}+y, \frac{1}{2}-x, \frac{1}{2}-z ;\end{array} \quad \frac{1}{2}-y, \frac{1}{2}+x, \frac{1}{2}-z$.

## General

$h k l:$ No conditions
$h k 0$ : No conditions
$0 k l: \quad k+l=2 n$
$h h l$ : No conditions

Special: as above, plus
no extra conditions
no extra conditions
$8 \quad i \quad m \quad \begin{array}{lllll}x, y, 0 ; & \bar{x}, \bar{y}, 0 ; & \frac{1}{2}+x, \frac{1}{2}-y, \frac{1}{2} ; & \frac{1}{2}-x, \frac{1}{2}+y, \frac{1}{2} ; \\ y, x, 0 ; & \bar{y}, \bar{x}, 0 ; & \frac{1}{2}+y, \frac{1}{2}-x, \frac{1}{2} ; & \frac{1}{2}-y, \frac{1}{2}+x, \frac{1}{2} .\end{array}$

thanks to Erich Wimmer

## TiC electron density

- NaCl structure (100) plane
- Valence electrons only
- plot in 2 dimensions
- Shows
- charge distribution
- covalent bonding
- between the Ti-3d and C-2p electrons
- $e_{g} / t_{2 g}$ symmetry



Download hardcopy in PostScript format
 Min $\begin{aligned} & -0.5 \\ & \text { Max } \\ & 2\end{aligned}$ Delta 0.1 plot electron density edit TiC.in2 reset EMIN

## 

Energy bands



## spaghetti irred.rep.

character bands

P.Blaha, K.Schwarz,

Int.J.Quantum Chem. 23, 1535 (1983)


P.Blaha, K.Schwarz,

Int.J.Quantum Chem. 23, 1535 (1983)

antibonding
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}-\mathrm{Ti}_{\mathrm{d}} \sigma$
bonding
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{p}}-\mathrm{Ti}_{\mathrm{d}} \sigma$


## TiC, TiN, TiO




Figure 3. Valence charge densities in the (100) plane. Contour intervals $0.1 e \AA^{-3}$ (numbers are in these units), cutoff at $1.7 e \AA^{-3}$.

TiN


## Rigid band model: limitations

Electron density $\rho$ : decomposition $1=q_{\text {out }}+\sum_{t} \sum_{\ell} q_{t \ell}$ unit cell interstitial atom $t \quad \ell=s, p, d, \ldots$

## P.Blaha, K.Schwarz,

Int.J.Quantum Chem. 23, 1535 (1983)

Experimental difference electron density





Paired reflections

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s=|\vec{s}| \sim \frac{\sin v^{2}}{\hbar} \\
& \\
& F(\vec{S})=F(S) \\
& \text { spheric. symm. density } \\
& \left.\begin{array}{rl}
F\left(\vec{S}_{1}\right) & \neq F\left(\vec{S}_{2}\right) \\
\text { with }\left|\vec{S}_{1}\right| & =\left|\vec{S}_{2}\right|
\end{array}\right\} \text { non spherical }
\end{aligned}
$$





## Thank you for your attention



# Optimization of the Statistical Exchange Parameter $\alpha$ for the Free Atoms $\mathbf{H}$ through $\mathbf{N b}^{\dagger}$ 

Karlheinz Schwarz*<br>Quantum Theory Project and Department of Physics, University of Florida, ""-""-""-""-""-""-"Gainesville, Flovida 32601 (Received 17 December 1970)

We have examined two criteria for determining the exchange parameter $\alpha$ which occurs in the $X \alpha$ local-statistical-exchange approximation, an approximation widely used in energy-band and molecular calculations. These criteria are (i) adjustment of the statistical total energy to the Hartree-Fock total energy, leading to $\alpha_{\mathrm{HF}}$, and (ii) satisfaction of the virial theorem, leading to $\alpha_{v t}$. We have calculated the values of the parameter $\alpha$ corresponding to these two criteria for the neutral atoms H through Nb , and compared them with the values $\alpha_{\text {min }}$ corresponding to the Hartree-Fock total-energy minimization criterion employed earlier by Kmetko and Wood. While the last-mentioned criterion leads to $\alpha$ values which show large fluctuations across the periodic table as a function of $Z$, the $\alpha$ values obtained by either of the two criteria used in this paper show a systematic variation as a function of $Z$, reflecting the shell structure of the atoms, and varying linearly with $Z$ within the range of $Z$ for which a particular atomic subshell is being filled.

## The $\mathrm{X} \alpha$ method



Fig. 1. - The solid line shows the dependence of the expectation value of the HF total energy of atomic titanium in the $X \alpha$ method $\left(E_{H F}^{\alpha}\right)$. The endpoints of the solid line correspond to the Gaspar-Kohn-Sham $(\alpha=2 / 3)$ and Slater $(\alpha=1)$ approximations. The dashed line shows the $\beta$ dependence of the corresponding quantity in the $X \alpha \beta$ method, $E_{H F}^{\alpha \beta}$, where $\alpha$ has been set at $2 / 3$.


Fig. 2. $-Z$ dependence of the exchange parameter $\alpha$ in the $X \alpha$ scheme calculated in two different ways. The solid dots denote values of $\alpha_{\mathbf{H F}}$ as determined by Schwarz [17] by matching the statistical total energy to the HF value.


Frg. 3. - The modulation function $\boldsymbol{M}(\rho)$ displayed on a logarithmic radial scale for titanium. The radial spherical charge density $\sigma$ is also shown for reference.

# CONSTRUCTION OF AN OPTIMIZED Z-INDEPENDENT STATISTICAL EXCHANGE POTENTIAL FOR ATOMIC, MOLECULAR, AND SOLID STATE CALCULATIONS 

K. SCHWARZ (*) and F. HERMAN

IBM Research Laboratory, San Jose, California 95114, USA

Abstract. - The optimized $X \alpha$ method has the drawback that the optimum value of $\alpha$ for isolated atoms is $Z$-dependent, a consequence of the fact that $V_{X \alpha}$ has to represent inhomogeneous as well as homogeneous exchange effects. In treating polyatomic molecules and crystals by the $X \alpha$ method, one is obliged to use spatially discontinuous exchange potentials (muffin-tin approximation) or arbitrarily smoothed versions of these. A simple way of avoiding such difficulties is to adopt the $X \alpha \beta$ method, which treats homogeneous and inhomogeneous exchange effects separately, and attempt to find optimum $Z$-independent values for the two parameters $\alpha$ and $\beta$.

